



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov


APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
05/924,404	07/11/1978	HYMAN KIRTCHIK		4325
7590 08/18/2005				
AFLSA/JACN-P 1501 WILSON BLVD. SUITE 805 ARLINGTON,, VA 22209		EXAMINER JORDAN, CHARLES T		
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005

RESCINDING OF SECRECY ORDER
(35 U.S.C. 181-188)

The Secrecy Order, dated , prohibiting disclosure of publication of the subject matter of the above-identified application under 35 U.S.C. 181-188 is hereby rescinded. Normal prosecution is continued and any suspension thereof because of the secrecy order has been removed. This rescinding order does not affect the provisions of any classified government contract or existing laws relating to espionage and national security.

Note that this decision does not affect the removal of any national security markings. Applicant is required to obtain authorization to remove any such markings or pursue a new security order.


for
Donald T. Hajec
Director, Technnology Center 3600
(703) 306-4180



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CENTER (AFMC)
HANSCOM AIR FORCE BASE, MASSACHUSETTS 01731

21 July 2005

MEMORANDUM FOR: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
PO BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1450

FROM: ESC/JAZ
40 WRIGHT STREET BLDG 1120
HANSCOM AFB MA 01731-2903

SUBJECT: Rescission of Secrecy Order ; Patent Application S.N. 05/924,404

Filed: 11 July 1978 Inventors: Hyman Kirtchik

Title: Low Reflectance Infrared Camouflage System

Prosecuted by ☒ Govt. ☐ Contractor ☐ Unknown Party

RECOMMENDATION: Rescission of Secrecy Order

Classification of Application ☐ canceled ☒ changed to Unclassified

by authority of AFRL/MLBT on 9 February 2005

Catherine A. Schulz

CATHERINE A. SCHULZ
Legal Instruments Examiner
Office of the Staff Judge Advocate
(781) 377-4073
DSN 478-4073

CLASSIFICATION EVALUATION

Application Serial No.: 05/924,404

Filing Date: 11 July 1978

Classification:

a. CLASSIFIED

SECRET ()

CONFIDENTIAL ()

b. UNCLASSIFIED, AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC RELEASE (X)

Comments (if needed): _____

If this laboratory is the incorrect laboratory to perform this security classification review, please state below which laboratory would be more appropriate for this application. Also please return the documents to ESC/JAZ.

The appropriate Laboratory is: _____

Signature of Reviewing Official

9 February 2005

Date

Steve Szaruga, AFRL/MLBT, DSN 785-9064
Print Full Name, Office Symbol, Telephone Number

**Upon withdrawal of attachments
this letter is unclassified**

Schulz Cathy Civ ESC/JA

Subject: FW: Secrecy Patents

Attachments: AA523105.doc; AA5924404.doc; AA5924406.doc; AA5924405.doc; AA607391.doc; AA607392.doc; AA508937.doc; image001.gif



AA523105.doc



AA5924404.doc



AA5924406.doc



AA5924405.doc



AA607391.doc



AA607392.doc



AA508937.doc



image001.gif

-----Original Message-----

From: Schulz Cathy Civ ESC/JA

Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2005 11:40 AM

To: Hackney Lori E Contr AFRL/MLOB

Subject: RE: Secrecy Patents

Lori,

I gave you the wrong response on this e-mail earlier. You can shred these cases. But to play it safe, I don't know if this e-mail will be sufficient enough. I am going to send you documents for each of these cases, if you could have the box checked off stating that these cases are unclassified and can be published, and have them signed so that way once I get something from the patent office stating that the case no longer has a secrecy order on it, I can make them unclassified.

If you want when they are filled in and signed, you can fax them to me at 781-377-4820. Thanks for all of your help.

Cathy

From: Hackney Lori E Contr AFRL/MLOB

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 1:24 PM

To: Schulz Cathy Civ ESC/JA

Subject: RE: Secrecy Patents

Hi Cathy!

Yes, Steve Szaruga reviewed these two patents, (05/924,405 and 05/924,406) and they are to be made unclassified. Is it okay to shred them??

Thanks!

Lori

-----Original Message-----

From: Schulz Cathy Civ ESC/JA [mailto:Cathy.Schulz@hanscom.af.mil]

Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2005 8:11 AM

To: Hackney Lori E Contr AFRL/MLOB

Subject: RE: Secrecy Patents

Lori,

On cases 05/924,405 and 05/924,406 both of them I still have as Secret. (They were reviewed in February 2004 and your response was SECRET.) All of the other cases listed here have been marked Unclassified. On these cases that are unclassified you can shred those cases and if you need to keep them in your records, let me know and I will send you the sheet that we got from the patent office showing that it is now Unclassified and the secrecy order has been removed.

On cases 05/924,405 and 05/924,406, are you trying to tell me that your response to this years review is to make these cases Unclassified and available to the public? If so, I will send a response into the Patent Office. I do appreciate your help in this matter.

Cathy

From: Hackney Lori E Contr AFRL/MLOB
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2005 3:23 PM
To: Schulz Cathy Civ ESC/JA
Subject: Secrecy Patents
Importance: High

Hi Cathy!

I have several Secrecy Order patents that have been declassified. I listed below the number and title. Should I shred these patents?

05/508937	Method for Dispersing Submicron Particles Within Plastic Film
05/607392	Improved Structural Radar Absorber
05/607391	Improved Structural Radar Absorber
05/924405	Countermeasure System
05/924406	IR Camouflage Coating System
05/523105	High Stress Attenuators

Thanks!

Lori Hackney
Patent Manager
Contractor (MTC)
Business Management Operations
AFRL/MLOB
Bldg 653, Room 416
255-2094